

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/645,662 08/22/2003 Almantas Galvanauskas A8640 8431 7590 04/08/2004 **EXAMINER** SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC MOSKOWITZ, NELSON 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3663

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Long the second of the second				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/645,662	GALVANAUSKAS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Nelson Moskowitz	3663	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports and the second	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reproper period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 E	December 2003.	•	
2a)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims	-		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	١.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,4-7 and 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 2,3,8 and 12 is/are rejected.			
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document	•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachmei	nt(s)			
• =	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	T	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/19/03</u> .  6) Other:			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Application/Control Number: 10/645,662

Art Unit: 3662

- 1) This action is in response to Applicant's letter received December 19, 2003. The amendments have been entered and the arguments of record have been considered.
- 2) The text of those sections of title 35 U.S. code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1, 4-7, and 9-11 remain withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions presented in parent file 09/317,221, and herein all the claims are substantially the same as they are in the parent case.
- Claims 2, 3, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Lawrence et al. See, inter alia, column 8, lines 43-57. Applicant's argument that this reference fails to provide gain guiding, is in error. The signal of this reference is in a single mode and a single mode of a multi-core fiber is excited. The pump overlays the signal and thus must provide gain guiding. While Applicant's disclosure presents another manner of gain guiding, this does not negate the mode guiding disclosed by Lawrence et al.

Applicant's argument that the Lawrence et al reference is mischaracterized or leads one to draw conclusions which are untrue, and is not applicable to amplifiers, is not cogent. The Lawrence patent's disclosure teaches what it explicitly describes (apologies to Yogi Bera). Mere argument that the underlying reference is not relevant fails to consider Lawrence et al a whole. This patent discloses operation in a clad fiber with a doped core with overlaid signal and pump radiation. Thus, the disclosure of Lawrence et al meets the broadly claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,662

Art Unit: 3662

Claims 2, 3, 8 and 12 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated 4) by Valentin et al. The arguments presented in section 3 above are incorporated herein by reference. Applicant's asserts that Valentin confuses the topic by referring the cladding as multimode core. Again, when this reference is considered as a whole, one skilled in the art is not confused, and the claimed invention is disclosed by this reference.

Page 3

Page 6 of Applicant's most recent amendment repeats claims 9-12. This repetition is 5) objected to and correction is required

PRIMARY EXAMINER